



**ADDENDUM NUMBER 1 TO RFP #08 – 06,
“ARCHITECTURE & ENGINEERING: PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE”**

Request for Proposals (RFP) Title:	Architectural & Engineering Services: Pedestrian Bridge at One Waterfront Place
Solicitation Number:	RFP #08 – 06
Date this Addendum Issued:	September 5, 2008
Solicitation Coordinator:	Daniel Spero, CPPB Contracts Specialist Purchasing & Contracting Services Portland Development Commission (503) 823-3291 (office) (503) 865-3738 (fax) sperod@pdc.us (email)

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS:

- This Addendum constitutes an integral part of the above-referenced Request for Proposals (RFP) and shall be read in conjunction with the RFP.
- Where inconsistent with the original RFP, or any subsequent Addendum, this Addendum shall govern.
- It is the responsibility of all Proposers to conform to this Addendum.
- Unless specifically changed herein, all other requirements, terms and conditions of the RFP remain in full force and effect and can only be modified if in writing.

PURPOSE OF THIS ADDENDUM:

The purpose of this Addendum is to answer questions raised by potential proposers.

CLARIFICATION OF THE RFP

1. **Questions:** *The RFP discusses “all engineering” in the Project Objectives section on page 5 (Section I (C) of the RFP), but the deliverables listed in Section II of the RFP appear to focus on the above ground aspects of the bridge design. Will geotechnical services will be required under an awarded contract?*

PDC Response: Yes. As stated in the previous section on page 5 (Section I (B) of the RFP): “The selected Design Team will be responsible for design, permitting and construction administration phases of the Project, including all **geotechnical**, civil, structural, electrical, mechanical, architectural, landscape and urban design elements.” [emphasis added] PDC will provide the Design Team with copies of prior geotechnical reports completed for the Station Place west landing as well as geotechnical reports completed for One Waterfront east landing.

2. **Question:** *Does PDC expect this project to be lead by an Engineer Lead or Architectural Lead?*

PDC Response: As stated in the “Project Objectives” section on page 5 (Section I (C) of the RFP), “Prior successful experience with the design and engineering of public pedestrian bridges is strongly preferred.” PDC anticipates the project will be lead by a firm experienced in design and delivery of public pedestrian bridge structures, typically considered an engineering specialty. Given the budget constraints described in Section II (D) of the RFP, “Contract Budget,” detailed knowledge of bridge types and cost parameters will be integral to development of a successful bridge design that resolves the stated design criteria with the timeline identified in the RFP. Regardless of the specific professional expertise of the project management lead, we expect that the successful responding Design Team will be structured with the necessary expertise in appropriate roles so as to maximize cost effectiveness of design team efforts to deliver the defined scope within the overall project budget.

Note: This Addendum does not change the Proposal due date.

END OF THIS ADDENDUM