

IDAHO TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT

REQUEST FOR STATEMENT OF INTEREST

FOR

**I-86, CHUBBUCK IC #61 BRIDGE, BANNOCK COUNTY
PROJECT NO. A012(093)
KEY NO. 12093**

July 20, 2010

REQUEST FOR STATEMENT OF INTEREST

Table of Contents

- General Information
- Request for Statement of Interest Preparation Instructions
- Statement of Interest Evaluation Criteria
- Scope of Work

The following items are not included in this package, but can be located at the following web sites:

Sample Professional Agreement and Consultant Agreement Specifications
<http://www.itd.idaho.gov/design/cau/forms.htm>

GENERAL INFORMATION

PROPOSAL

The Idaho Transportation Department (ITD) is seeking qualified and experienced respondents from interested firms to submit a statement of interest for providing project development services on the I-86, Chubbuck IC project.

GENERAL TERMS

This Statement of Interest (SOI) does not commit ITD to enter into an agreement or to pay any costs incurred in the preparation of this proposal or in subsequent negotiations.

REVISIONS TO SOI

All addenda to this solicitation will be posted on the Consultant Administration Unit Web page. No notice will be given by mail.

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS BY ITD

The issuance of this SOI does not constitute an assurance by ITD that any contract will actually be entered into by ITD, and expressly reserves the right to:

- Waive any immaterial defect or informality in any response or response procedure
- Reject any and all proposals
- Reissue the Request for Statement of Interest
- Invite additional respondents to the proposal
- Request additional information and data from any or all respondents
- Extend the date for submission of responses
- Supplement, amend, or otherwise modify the SOI, and cancel this request with or without the substitution of another SOI
- Disqualify any respondent who fails to provide information or data requested herein or who provides inaccurate or misleading information or data
- Disqualify any respondent on the basis of any real or apparent conflict of interest

By responding to this proposal, each respondent agrees that any finding by ITD of any fact in dispute as to this proposal or the responses thereto shall be final and conclusive, except as provided herein.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

By the submission of a SOI, the Consultant agrees to ensure that, at the time of contracting, the Consultant will have no interest, direct or indirect, that would conflict in any manner or degree with the performance of the Consultant's obligations under the Agreement. The Consultant shall further covenant that, in the performance of the contract, the Consultant shall not employ any person, or subcontract with any entity, having any such known interest.

EEO REQUIREMENTS

Respondent, by submission of a proposal, agrees to not discriminate against any worker, employee, application subcontractor or any member of the public because of race, color, gender, age, national origin, or disability, or otherwise commit an unfair employment practice. Respondent further agrees to comply with all Federal, State, and Local equal employment opportunity requirements.

FINANCIAL REQUIREMENTS

Prior to negotiating an agreement, the selected consultant and their subconsultants will be required to submit certified hourly rates and their last years' financial information and overhead schedule in accordance with the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FARs) and the ITD Overhead Rate Policy. The Overhead Rate Policy can be viewed at the following web site:

<http://www.itd.idaho.gov/design/cau/Policies/overhead.htm>

PROPRIETARY MATERIAL

ITD assumes no liability for disclosure of proprietary material submitted by respondents. Proposal submittals shall be considered public documents under applicable state law except to the extent portions of the submittals are otherwise protected under applicable law.

EVALUATION CRITERIA

An Evaluation Committee will evaluate and determine the individual and comparative merits of each of the proposals received. It is the responsibility of the Consultant to ensure that it complies with this SOI and provides the information requested. If the Consultant fails to provide any information requested in this SOI, such failure may result in either a lowered evaluation score of the SOI or disqualification of the SOI.

CONTACT INFORMATION

All questions concerning the procedures of this statement of interest shall be directed to Leo Hickman at (208) 334-8493, or faxed to (208) 332-2044.

Project specific questions shall be directed to Jesse Barrus, P.E. at jesse.barrus@itd.idaho.gov or at (208) 234-3961.

PREPARATION INSTRUCTIONS

Proposals must conform to the following instructions. Any non-conforming proposal will be rejected.

Five complete copies of the proposal must be received by 4:00 p.m. MDT on August 17, 2010. ITD will not accept copies sent by FAX. Proposals must be submitted in a sealed envelope or package with the project name, and the consultant's name and address clearly indicated on the envelope or package. Proposals must be in the actual possession of ITD on or prior to the above noted time and date, and at the location indicated below. Late proposals will not be considered, and will be returned to the consultant.

Proposals shall be sent to: Leo J. Hickman
 CAU Project Manager
 Idaho Transportation Department
 P.O. Box 7129 (3311 W. State St., Room 214)
 Boise, ID 83707-1129 (Boise, ID 83703-5881)

Do not mail your proposals to the street address. The Post Office will only deliver to the PO Box address. Use the street address only for overnight delivery by Fed Ex, etc.

Statements of Interest will be evaluated and, as part of the selection process, the top-ranked firms may be required, at their expense, to give a presentation and/or answer interview questions.

If your firm is selected and approved, negotiations will begin. If negotiations break down with a selected Consultant, they will be formally ended and negotiations will begin with the next ranked Consultant.

FORMAT

- The maximum length of the submittal shall be 12 pages.
- The introductory letter, organization chart, and resumes shall count in the page total.
- Front and back cover pages are acceptable, and do not count in the proposal page total.
- Except as otherwise noted, pages shall be 8 1/2 x 11 inches and single sided.
- Type style shall be not more than six lines per vertical inch and not smaller than 12 point.

INTRODUCTORY LETTER

The introductory letter should be addressed to:

Leo J. Hickman
CAU Project Manager
Idaho Transportation Department
P.O. Box 7129
Boise, Idaho 83707-1129

The introductory letter should introduce the Consultant's submittal, identify the Project Manager, and list a contact telephone number, and contain a statement confirming the commitment of the key personnel identified in the submittal to meet ITD's quality and schedule expectations. List each Subconsultant, their work tasks, and a contact name and telephone number. The Consultant shall include his/her acceptance of the terms and provisions of the Sample Agreement located at <http://www.itd.idaho.gov/design/cau/forms.htm>, and indicate willingness to execute said agreement.

PROPOSAL

It is essential that the consultant provide an adequate staff of experienced personnel or subconsultants capable of and devoted to the successful accomplishment of work to be performed under this contract. The specific individuals or subconsultants listed in the proposal, including Project Manager, shall be assigned to the key positions and shall not be removed or replaced without the prior written approval of ITD. Replacement personnel submitted for approval must have at least equal qualifications, experience and expertise as those listed in the proposal.

The following criteria will be considered in the evaluation and selection, and apply only to the Consultant, except where specifically requested for the Consultant and each Subconsultant.

CRITERIA 1. COMPANY EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS

(Complete for Consultant and each Subconsultant)

Describe how the company is organized to develop this type of project. Provide descriptions of similar projects successfully developed by the Consultant within the last five (5) years, identifying the similarities to this project. Include dates and specific services provided by the consultant. List three (3) verifiable professional services references with a contact person and phone number.

CRITERIA 2. PROJECT MANAGER

Identify the Project Manager who will be responsible for the quality and timeliness of the consultant's work, and for ensuring that adequate personnel and other resources are available for this project. Provide a brief summary of education, experience, and qualifications pertaining to the management of this project. Include Idaho professional registration (registration is required at the time of submittal). List three (3) verifiable professional services references with a contact person and phone number.

CRITERIA 3. KEY PERSONNEL & RESOURCES AVAILABLE *(Complete for Consultant and each Subconsultant)*

Identify the key personnel and describe each person's role and duties on this project. Provide a brief summary of experience and qualifications, including Idaho professional registration (if applicable) for each person identified. Include an organization chart of the key personnel with their roles and office locations.

CRITERIA 4. PROJECT UNDERSTANDING

Provide a written narrative demonstrating knowledge, methodology, policies and procedures to accomplish this project as outlined in the General Scope of Work. Identify the significant features and potential issues that may be encountered and possible steps to eliminate or minimize those issues.

CRITERIA 5. QUALITY CONTROL

Describe the Consultant's procedures for scope change control, schedule and cost control and quality control. This should include a description of the Consultant's control measures regarding their Subconsultants' project related work.

DBE PARTICIPATION:

The Consultant will not be required to meet a specific DBE utilization for this project. However, the Consultant is encouraged to utilize the services of women and minorities in accomplishing the tasks or providing the services for this project. For further information regarding DBE participation requirements, call the ITD EEO Office at (208) 334-4442. A directory of DBE companies currently certified by the State of Idaho may be viewed at the following web site: <http://itd.idaho.gov/civil/dbeforams.htm>

STATEMENT OF INTEREST EVALUATION CRITERIA

	CRITERIA	RATING	WEIGHT	SCORE
CRITERIA 1.	COMPANY EXPERIENCE/QUALIFICATIONS <i>Recent Experience, Company Structure, References</i>		x 4.0	
CRITERIA 2.	PROJECT MANAGER <i>Experience, Qualifications</i>		x 5.0	
CRITERIA 3.	KEY PERSONNEL & RESOURCES AVAILABLE <i>Experience, Qualifications, and Organization Chart</i>		x 4.0	
CRITERIA 4.	PROJECT UNDERSTANDING <i>Demonstrated Knowledge and Methodology</i>		x 5.0	
CRITERIA 5.	QUALITY CONTROL <i>Quality Control, Schedule and Cost Control, Change Control</i>		x 2.0	
	TOTAL SCORE			

RATING POINTS:

5.0 – Excellent
 4.0 – Good
 3.0 – Satisfactory
 2.0 – Marginal
 0.0 - Unsatisfactory

GENERAL SCOPE OF WORK

INTRODUCTION

The existing interchange bridge is a five-span, 251 foot by 76 foot structure over Interstate 86 at milepost 61.268. Built in 1964 and with a sufficiency rating of 95.0, The 2030 ADT for the interstate in this area is projected to be 16,000 with 20% to 23% trucks.

The bridge provides access to Interstate 86 and connects major shopping areas on both sides of the interstate. This project will be a total replacement of the existing interchange with a Single Point Urban Interchange, a Diverging Diamond Interchange, or a regular diamond type interchange. It is anticipated the bridge will be changed from a 5-span to a 2-span bridge, therefore, the beam sizes may be significantly larger thus encroaching on the required bridge overhead clearances. To mitigate this, the bridge will need to be raised, the interstate lowered, or a combination of the two. **NO ADDITIONAL RIGHT OF WAY WILL BE PURCHACED.** This may require the need for retaining wall design to keep the bridge footprint in the existing right of way. There also exists constraints to the east and west that will affect the amount we can lower the interstate and still maintain required sight distances.

DESCRIPTION

Services required for this project will be initial pre-design tasks through PS&E submittal. ITD bridge will design the structure. The project will be based upon the approved Concept Report that will be developed during the course of this work. The project will be designed in accordance with current (including any manual revision) ITD and AASHTO manuals. The work needed to complete the project will include but will not be limited to the following tasks listed below.

Work in Progress: PB America will provide a DRAFT Concept report. Materials Phase IV will be in progress by American Geotechnics. The remaining surveying will be in progress by ITD.

1. Project Administration
 - a. Project Initiation
 - b. Progress Meetings
 - c. Project Schedules
 - d. Invoicing
2. Concept Development (taking the Draft to Final)
 - a. Surveying (using the existing data and potential in-progress work)
 - b. Phase I Report
 - c. Concept Narrative
 - d. Feasibility Study
 - e. Structure Concept
 - f. Public Meeting

3. Preliminary Design
 - a. Situation & Layout
 - b. Hydraulic Report
 - c. Phase II, III, & V Reports (Using a Phase IV that is in progress.)
 - d. Public Meeting
 - e. Preliminary Plans
 - f. Preliminary Design Review
 - g. Environmental Evaluation
 - h. Cultural Clearances
 - i. Design Study Report/Design Approval
 - j. Right of Way Plans
4. Final Design
 - a. Final Plans
 - b. Proposal
 - c. Phase V Report
 - d. Final Design Submittal/Review
5. PS&E
 - a. ROW Certification
 - b. Environmental Re-evaluation (if needed)
 - c. PS&E submittal/Review
 - d. Resident Engineer's File
 - e. Bidding support
6. ROW: The design goal is to avoid the need for ROW. It is not feasible with time and funding constraints to purchase ROW.

The schedule will be such that we will have approved PS&E on or before August 30, 2012.